PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P05180000	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/JP2005/000694	International filing date (day/month/year) 20 January 2005 (20.01.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 23 January 2004 (23.01.2004)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant HOYA CORPORATION				

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
Box No. II Priority					
	Box No. III	on with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial			
	Box No. IV				
	Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement				
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application				
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).				
L					
	Date of issuance of this report 22 August 2006 (22.08.2006)				
	The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		Authorized officer Masashi Honda		
Facs	simile No. +41 22 338 82 70		e-mail: pt08@wipo.int		

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY То: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) FOR FURTHER ACTION Applicant's or agent's file reference See paragraph 2 below P05180000 Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 23.01.2004 20.01.2005 PCT/JP2005/000694 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant HOYA CORPORATION This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

	\boxtimes	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
		Box No. II	Priority
[Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
[Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
	\boxtimes	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
[Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
[Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
. [Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
	Internation to this last this last this writte PCT/	national preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the y Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of ning Authority will not be so considered. ovided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.	

Authorized officer

Telephone No.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP

Facsimile No.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/000694

Во	x No. I	Basis of this opinion	
1.	With filed	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under	
	-	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed atton, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	a.	type of material	ĺ
		a sequence listing	ĺ
i		table(s) related to the sequence listing	١
	b.	format of material	
		in written format	ŀ
		in computer readable form	ļ
	c.	time of filing/furnishing	l
		contained in the international application as filed.	
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
1		\cdot	1
4	. Ad	litional comments:	1
1	•		١
}			١
			١
1			
ł			
ł			
١			
1		•	
1			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/000694

Box No. V	Reasoned statemen citations and expla	t under Ru nations sup	le 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventi porting such statement	ve step or industrial applicability;	
1. Statement					
Novelty	(N) ·	Claims	1-13		YES
•		Claims			NO
Inventive	e step (IS)	Claims	- 11		YES
		Claims	1-10, 12, 13		NO
		•		* .	
Industria	al applicability (IA)	Claims	1-13		YES
		Claims			NO
		Ciuina			

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP, 2001-210865, A

Document 2: M. Danek et al. Electrospray organometallic chemical vapor deposition - A novel technique for preparation of II-VI quantum dot composites. Appl. Phys. Lett. 28 November, 1994 (28.11.94), Vol. 65, No. 22, pages 2795-2797

(28.11.94), vol. 65, No. 22, pages 2 Document 3: JP, 2003-137700, A

Document 4: JP, 06-104087, A

The subject matters of claims 1-6, 8, 9, 12 and 13 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of the inventions described in documents 1 and 2. A person skilled in the art could have easily conceived that in the light emitting element in the invention described in document 1, a ZnSe thin film layer containing nanocrystals described in document 2 is applied instead of a semiconductor light emitting layer composed of a fine particle sintered body to constitute the subject matters of claims 1-5, 8, 9, 12 and 13. Applying the material of claim 6 as a nanocrystalline material is not considered to be particularly difficult.

The subject matter of claim 7 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of the inventions described in documents 1-3. A person skilled in the art could have easily conceived that the Cu doped ZnTe based compound described in document 3 is applied as an electrode for positive hole injection (corresponding to the "hole transport layer" in document 1) in the invention described in document 1.

The subject matter of claim 10 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of the inventions described in documents 1-4. Document 4 describes an EL apparatus, wherein first and second electrodes are placed so as to be isolated in a planar form on one surface of a fluorescent material film. Accordingly, a person skilled in the art could have easily conceived that the electrodes in the inventions described in documents 1-3 are placed so as to be isolated in a planar form as described in document 4 to constitute the subject matter of claim 10.

The subject matter of claim 11 is neither described in any of the documents cited in the ISR nor obvious to a person skilled in the art. Accordingly, the subject matter of claim 11 appears to involve an inventive step.